Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	227	10/773,740	BADESHA ET AL.
	iar y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Erma Cameron	1762
All Participants:		Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Erma Cameron</u> .		(3)	·
(2) Annette Bade.		(4) <u> </u>	
Date of Interview: 10 May 2005		Time:	
	☐ Applica Ì No	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			·
Claims discussed: 3 and 11			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a structure of the applicant to the applicant to the applicant to the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a structure of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a structure of the interview in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The separate re	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/773,740

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1. The priority statement on page 1 will be updated. 2. "Terpolymer" of claim 3 is obviously incorrect and will be replaced by the correct 'tetrapolymer, as defined in claim 2. 3. Claim 11 will be amended for better antecedent basis. These will be done by examiner's amendment.